



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	ГЕ	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/685,905	10/10/200	0	Yunzhou Li	10360/075001/12335BA	4628	
26161	7590 07/	/29/2004		EXAMINER		
	HARDSON PO	C		LEZAK, ARRIENNE M		
225 FRANKI BOSTON, M				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2143		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			\ \ \	1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1)/($ \top $
	09/685,905	LI, YUNZHOU	, ()	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		-
	Arrienne M. Lezak	2143		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	lress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this cor NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	• •	merits is	
	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 O.D.	11, 430 0.0. 210.		
Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Explacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 110 □ The oath or declaration is objected to b	ewn from consideration. For election requirement. For election requirement. For election requirement objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFI	, ,	
	.xammer. Note the attached v	Since Action of format in	J-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportly documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Examiner notes that no Claims have been amended, added or cancelled. All Claims not explicitly addressed herein are found to be addressed within prior Office Action dated 2 February 2004 as reiterated herein below.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,903,559 to Acharya.
- 4. Regarding Claims 1, 8, 15, 16, 17, 21 and 25, Acharya discloses a method, a machine-readable medium and a router for purposes of multicasting data to at least one line card configured to attach to a router; and storing state information associated with the data as a default state at each line card the data was multicast to, (Fig. 8; Col. 7, lines 16-41; Col. 14, lines 46-67; Col. 15, lines 1-29; and Col. 16, lines 21-27).

Art Unit: 2143

- 5. Regarding Claims 2, 9, 18 and 23, Acharya discloses a method wherein state information includes the source parameter indicating a source of the data, (Col. 10, lines 42-49 and Col. 14, lines 39-45).
- 6. Regarding Claims 3, 10, 19 and 24, Acharya discloses a method wherein the state information includes a group parameter indicating at least one destination of the data, (Col. 10, lines 42-49; Col. 14, lines 39-45; and Col. 18, lines 55-58).
- 7. Regarding Claims 4 and 11, Acharya discloses a method comprising performing a reverse path forwarding check on the data, (Col. 16, lines 8-27 and Col. 24, lines 43-58).
- 8. Regarding Claims 5, 7, 12 and 14, Acharya discloses a method wherein performing and verifying is done using a multicast border gateway protocol, (Col. 24, lines 43-58).
- 9. Regarding Claims 6, 13 and 31, Acharya discloses a method comprising verifying that the data, including known state information, was received at the proper line card, (Col. 18, lines 42-67 and Col. 19, lines 1-19).
- 10. Regarding Claims 20, 22 and 30, Acharya discloses a method comprising: receiving multicast data including known and unknown state information, (Fig. 8; Col. 14, lines 46-67 and Col. 15, lines 1-29); storing the multicast data with default state information, (Col. 7, lines 16-41 and Col. 16, lines 21-27); performing a reverse path forwarding check on the multicast data, (Col. 16, lines 8-27 and Col. 24, lines 43-58); verifying that the multicast data was received at a proper interface, (Col. 18, lines 42-67 and Col. 19, lines 1-19); determining a multicast group associated with the multicast

Application/Control Number: 09/685,905 Page 4

Art Unit: 2143

data; and routing or multicasting the multicast data to the multicast group or all available interfaces, (Col. 22, lines 26-67).

- 11. Regarding Claims 32 and 33, Acharya discloses multicasting data including known state information according to the known state information if the multicast data including known state information is verified, (pending Claim 32), and dropping the multicast data including known state information if the multicast data including known state information is not verified, (pending Claim 33), (Col. 14, lines 46-67 and Col. 15, lines 1-29).
- 12. Regarding Claim 26, Acharya discloses a method wherein a data path associated with a router and configured to process multicast data executes the performing and verifying, (Col. 8, lines 52 –61; Col. 10, lines 42-49; Col. 22, lines 58-67; and Col. 23, lines 36-51).
- 13. Regarding Claim 27, Acharya discloses a method wherein the data path uses a multicast border gateway protocol in executing the performing and the verifying, (Col. 24, lines 43-59).
- 14. Regarding Claim 28, Acharya discloses a method wherein the processor included in a router and configured to process multicast data executes the determining, (Fig.11 and Col. 19, lines 20-26).
- 15. Regarding Claim 29, Acharya discloses a method comprising trimming routes to paths not associated with multicast groups, (Col. 14, lines 46-67 and Col. 15, lines 1-29).

Application/Control Number: 09/685,905 Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

- 16. Regarding Claim 34, Acharya discloses a method comprising: installing a default state associated with multicast data in a data path of a line card, (Col. 14, lines 46-67 and Col. 15, lines 1-29); broadcasting the multicast data from the line card to all other line cards that the line card is configured to communicate with, (Col. 22, lines 26-67); sending the multicast data from the data path to a control path of the line card, (Col. 22, lines 58-67); at the control path, computing a route for the multicast data, (Col. 23, lines 20-35); and designating the line cards not included in the computed route as not to be broadcast multicast data received at the data path subsequent to the multicast data and having the same state information as the multicast data, (Col. 14, lines 46-67 and Col. 15, lines 1-29).
- 17. Regarding Claim 35, Acharya discloses a method comprising performing at the data path a reverse path forwarding check on the multicast data using a multicast gateway border protocol, (Col. 16, lines 8-27 and Col. 24, lines 43-58).
- 18. Regarding Claim 36, Acharya discloses a method comprising prior to the installing, checking state information associated with the multicast data with a multicast border gateway protocol to verify that the line card received the multicast data from a proper source, (Col. 18, lines 42-67; Col. 19, lines 1-19; Col. 22, lines 26-67; and Col. 24, lines 43-58).
- 19. Therefore, this reference may reasonably be read to teach or describe every element or claim limitation of Claims 1-36.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,905

Art Unit: 2143

Response to Arguments

Page 6

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed 7 May 2004, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. Moreover, Examiner notes that Applicant's claims have been written so broadly that any arbitrary state information, including that enumerated within Acharya, may be made to read upon them. In particular, as to Applicant's argument regarding the storage of state information, Examiner notes that Acharya teaches the storage of cells in a buffer, which cells inherently comprise state information, (Col. 7, line 38). As to Applicant's argument concerning the line card and controller, Examiner notes that Acharya teaches a line interface card wherein the ATM is switched dynamically, and therefore are inherently dynamically configuring said line cards, (in fact, said line cards are being configured specifically to exclude the multicast data on specific computed route(s)), (Col. 7, lines 37-51). As to Applicant's argument concerning the receipt of multicast data including unknown state information, Examiner observes that Acharya transmits information that is unknown to a second router from a first router, (Col. 15, line 14 – note: 1-2 bcast bitmap created by first router is unknown by second router).
- 21. Thus, as Examiner has completely addressed Applicant's amendment, and finding Applicant's arguments do not show how reconsideration avoids such references

Application/Control Number: 09/685,905

Art Unit: 2143

or objections, Examiner hereby maintains the original rejection of all claims in their entirety.

- 22. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 23. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arrienne M. Lezak whose telephone number is (703)-305-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703)-308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-305-3718.

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-6121.

Arrienne M. Lezak Examiner Art Unit 2143

AML

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PAFENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100